

## **PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT**

### **I. Duties of Editor**

The editor is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published.

Manuscripts shall be evaluated solely on their intellectual merit without regard to authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy.

The editor will make every effort to ensure the integrity of the blind review process by not revealing the identity of the author(s) of a manuscript to the reviewers of that manuscript, and vice versa.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used by anyone who has a view of the manuscript in his or her own research without the express written consent of the author.

### **II. Duties of Reviewers**

Any reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others.

Reviews should be conducted objectively. There shall be no personal criticism of the author. Reviewer should express his or her views clearly with supporting arguments.

Reviewer should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the author. Any statement that had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editorial staff's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Reviewer should not review manuscripts in which he or she has conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

### **III. Duties of Authors**

A study based on original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance within the scope of given research field. In case of empirically oriented research the study should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

An author may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such, if practicable, and should in any event retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

By submitting a paper, an author confirms that he or she has written entirely original work, and if the author has used the work and/or words of others this must be appropriately cited or quoted.

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

An author should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

An author should disclose in his or her manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might influence the results or interpretation of their research. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

Our ethic statements are based on [COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors](#).